

Further with respect to said parent application, the rejection of claims 62, 65 and 76 under 35 USC 112 as being indefinite has been duly considered. In response thereto Applicant has amended each of those claims by inserting more specific language. It is believed that the changes made to those claims by this preliminary amendment fully eliminate any question of indefiniteness. Therefore withdrawal of the Section 112 rejection is respectfully requested.

Referring further to the Final Official Action in said parent application, the rejection of various claims under 35 USC 102 as being fully anticipated by Nakajima et al (paragraphs 2 and 4) or Sato et al (paragraphs 3 and 6), and the rejection of other claims under 35 USC 103 as being obvious from (1) Nakajima et al in view of Sato et al or Richards, or (2) Sato in view of Kawahara, or further in view of Richards or Wallace or Lia, also have been duly noted. In response thereto Applicant has elected to cancel certain of those claims and to amend others. It is believed that the changes made by this preliminary amendment clearly result in claims that distinguish patentably from the prior art relied upon by the Examiner.

Therefore prompt and favorable consideration of this continuation application is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

 12/28/95

Nicholas A. Pandiscio
Pandiscio & Pandiscio
470 Totten Pond Road
Waltham, MA 02154
Tel.: (617) 290-0060
Fax.: (617) 290-4840
Attorneys for Applicant

NAP/baw
Enclosures